

Christian Anti-Communism Crusade

February 1, 1993

POST OFFICE BOX 890 LONG BEACH, CA 90801-0890 LONG BEACH (310) 437-0941 FAX No. (310) 432-2074

COMMUNIST STRATEGY IN SOUTH AFRICA

The two faces in the alliance between the African National Congress and the South African Communist Party (ANC-SACP) have led a two-faced strategy in negotiations with the present South African Government.

The South African newspaper, CITIZEN, editorializes:

"Mr. Mandela made it clear that if State President De Klerk delivered on earlier promises, the ANC was ready to talk again.

"He was prepared to accept undertakings in good faith from Mr. De Klerk on the three outstanding issues in order to get South Africa 'out of the quagmire'.

"Dr. van der Merwe said in reaction: 'The fact that Mr. Mandela now says that he is prepared to accept undertakings from the State President in good faith - in contrast to the attitude of the SACP/ANC alliance during their mass action a week or a month ago - is a step in the right direction.

"However, Mr. Mandela's pro-negotiation approach is in stark contrast to the shrill and threatening pro-mass action stance adopted by the SA Communist Party in its Press release of only two days ago, when it accused the State President of murder by proxy and the government of conspiracy at Bisho.

"'This Jekyll and Hyde face of the SACP/ANC alliance gives rise to some questions," Dr. Van der Merwe said.

"'Who is the public to believe? The reckless Communist radicals in the ANC like Kasrils, Hani, Gwala and Cronin or the proponents of negotiation on whose behalf Mr. Mandela apparently obviously talked in the interview? From day to day one wonders who has the upper hand,' Dr. van der Merwe added." (Focus on South Africa, November 1992, page 2)

Dr. van der Merwe is Secretary General of the National Party of South Africa.

If one assumes that the ANC will become the future government of South Africa, two unpleasant realties must be confronted:

- 1. The South African Communists will dominate South Africa with its strategic minerals and nuclear technology.
- 2. Any agreements which are negotiated, can be reversed once the ANC-SACP secures a monopoly of political power.

The Communists in the ANC have threatened this:

"ANC spokesman, Mr. Carl Niehaus, had threatened that apart from the freeing of ANC members, other amnesties granted by the government could be 'temporary and shaky' as the ANC could in future reverse them.

"'There is nothing to guarantee that we (an ANC government) might not withdraw that piece of legislation, Mr. Niehaus said." (FOCUS ON SOUTH AFRICA, Nov. 1992)

The Communist cancer has not been cured.

THE LENINIST NATURE OF THE CHANGES IN CHINA

Are you confused about what is happening in Communist China? If so, you have plenty of company. Henry Kissinger should be well-informed as he negotiated the U.S. recognition of Red China on behalf of President Nixon, and he has access to sources of secret information, but he still seems to be confused. He recently stated that China is Communist in name only, and non-Communist in practice.

The U.S. Socialist magazine, IN THESE TIMES, published an article, "China Swerves to the Right", in its November 30 edition, authored by Grover Grossman. It states:

"Over the past few years, the Chinese government has let markets spring up everywhere. At the same time, state-owned newspapers and broadcast stations trumpet the old communist virtues of love of party and collective sacrifice, while security police harass or arrest anyone who talks about democracy." Should we judge by the words or the deeds of Chinese rulers?

Economic Changes

Profound economic changes are certainly taking place in China. The November 16-22 edition of the BEIJING REVIEW contains an article captioned "State-Owned Enterprises No Longer State Run". It states:

"Chinese law guarantees that state-owned enterprises enjoy 14 kinds of power to enable greater management autonomy. This makes it possible for them to more actively participate in market competition and become fully responsible for their own profits and losses."

The article specifies that the new powers of the managers of state-owned enterprises include:

- 1. The power to price products and services;
- 2. The power over imports and exports;
- 3. The power to make investment decisions;
- 4. The power to hire and fire workers;
- 5. The power to acquire managerial and technical staff from abroad;
- 6. The power to determine wages and bonuses.

Applied Leninism

Those who believe that Communism can be judged by its economic policies alone, find these changes incomprehensible. One person, however, would understand and commend them. That person is Lenin, because he introduced similar changes in the Soviet Union in 1921. In order to combat the widespread poverty and antagonism of the Russian people, he instituted the New Economic Policy (NEP) which restored and utilized the profit incentive and re-established a form of capitalism. He stated:

"We all now agree that concessions are necessary... The concessionaire is a capitalist. He conducts his business on capitalist lines, for profit. He is willing to enter into an agreement with the proletarian government in order to obtain extra profits, over and above ordinary profits; or in order to obtain raw materials which he cannot otherwise obtain, or can obtain only with great difficulty. Soviet power gains by the development of the productive forces, by securing an increased quantity of goods immediately, or within a very short period... By 'implanting' state capitalism in the form of concessions, the Soviet government strengthens large-scale production as against small production, advanced production as against backward production, machine production as against hand production." (Lenin Selected Works, Part II--Vol. II, Moscow-1952. Page 545)

Lenin then emphasized that granting these capitalist concessions was not a renunciation of Communism. He writes:

"For concessions are also a form of class struggle in another form, and under no circumstances are they the substitution of class peace for class war. ibid page 546

Lenin asks the question: "Can the Soviet state, the dictatorship of the proletariat, be combined, united with state capitalism? Are they compatible?" He answers, "Of course they are." ibid page 504

In Lenin's mind the essential necessity for Communist rule was for the Communist Party to maintain its monopolistic control of the levers of state power. The Communists must be the ones who make the rules, and those who make the rules can change them.

The Chinese Communists are insistent that the Chinese Communist Party must maintain its total power, and as long as this control exists, all economic measures which will increase their industrial and military might, are desirable. If resistance arises, the power of the army will be adequate to crush it. The danger will become acute if the Party loses its unity and its will to give the orders to the army to attack, or if the army has the will to disobey such orders.

There is little that is inconsistent with Marxism-Leninism in China today.

THE HUMAN RIGHT TO FREEDOM FROM AIDS INFECTION

Do you know that only 14 percent of those infected and infectious with the AIDS virus are aware of their infective state? This means that one million are being denied access to treatment that may slow the progress of their disease, while they may unknowingly engage in conduct that will infect others.

To allow this situation to continue is criminal insanity. Demand universal non-discriminatory testing for AIDS infection. Voluntary testing is as irrational as voluntary testing for a driver's license would be.

HOW TO IMPROVE EDUCATION TO COMBAT AIDS

The authorities are constantly assuring us that education is the principal weapon that is available to halt the spread of the AIDS epidemic. The evidence is overwhelming that the education, which has been given so far, has failed to halt this dread disease.

The current education program has concentrated upon informing the public at large, with particular emphasis upon children in school, of the mechanisms by which AIDS is spread from person to person. The rationale for this is the assumption that rational people will refrain from committing an act if they know that it is potentially lethal. The evidence proves that this assumption is unjustified.

The heart of the education program is that "unprotected" sex will spread AIDS, and that protection from infection can be obtained by the use of a condom during sexual intercourse. This message is partially true, but it is falling upon deaf ears.

I watched the 60 Minutes program on CBS television on the evening of Sunday, November 15. The AIDS activist organization, ACT-UP, was engaged in a protest against a chemical company which it claimed was charging an excessive price for a drug to treat AIDS. One protestor had placed his body under a company truck to prevent its moving. The interviewer asked him if he had AIDS. His reply was positive. The interviewer then asked if he had been thoroughly educated concerning the conduct that would produce AIDS infection. The answer was again positive.

This victim of AIDS confessed that he had been well aware that indulgence in sodomy was likely to result in infection with the AIDS disease. Nevertheless, he indulged.

The man is not an exception. The November 13, 1992 edition of SCIENCE magazine publishes an article captioned, "Prevalence of AIDS-Related Risk Factors and Condom Use in the United States". A scientific team had investigated the conduct of 10,630 individuals. They reported that condom use was relatively low; that only 17% of those with multiple sexual partners, 12.6% of those with risky partners, and 10.8% of untested transfusion recipients used condoms all the time. Their conclusion was that new public health strategies may be needed.

The Role of Law

Education could be made more effective if it were supported by certain laws. Laws have a dual function. They punish and they educate, and the second function is often more important than the first. Consider the wearing of seatbelts. An educational program sought to convince people that wearing seatbelts saved lives. The result was that only a small segment of the community wore them. A law was then

passed making the wearing of seatbelts mandatory, and specifying punishment for those who were caught traveling by car without wearing a belt. The passage and enforcement of this law increased greatly the number of seatbelt wearers and diminished the number of deaths in motor accidents. Often those who first wore the belt because of fear of punishment, became so accustomed to wearing the belt that they are now uncomfortable without one.

As the Bible tells us: "The law was our schoolmaster."

Three laws would increase the effectiveness of the education program against AIDS if they were passed. They are: 1) Making sodomy illegal, 2) Making universal testing for infection with the AIDS virus mandatory, and 3) Granting the health authorities the power to quarantine anyone who insisted on indulging in practices that spread AIDS.

RUSSIA AND THE PARABLE OF THE UNCLEAN SPIRIT

As we attempt to interpret what is happening in Russia, and to discern what is likely to happen there in the future, it is helpful to consider the following parable of Jesus, Matthew 12:43-45:

"When the unclean spirit is gone out of a man, he walketh through dry places, seeking rest, and findeth none.

"Then he saith, I will return unto my house from which I came out; and when he is come, he findeth it empty, swept, and garnished.

"Then goeth he, and taketh with himself seven other spirits more wicked than himself, and they enter in and dwell there; and the last <u>state</u> of that man is worse than the first."

The unclean spirit, Communism, was driven out of the place of power in Russia. It has now joined forces with another unclean spirit, National Fascism, and the unholy alliance is seeking to reoccupy the seat of power. Its task will be easier if the democratic forces are overthrown and anarchy prevails.

CAN COMMUNISM RECUR?

Both communism and cancer tend to recur after they appear to have been defeated. This characteristic of cancer is illustrated by the recent sad experience of former ex-Senator Paul Tsongas. He was so convinced that he was cured of his cancer that he believed he was healthy enough to serve as President of the United States. His cancer has now recurred.

Communism can recur. The war to expose and destroy the deadly ideas that generate it must continue.

SWIMMING IN THE SEWER

Periodically the news media of Los Angeles announce that an accidental discharge of raw sewage has polluted the adjacent ocean, and has made the water unsafe for swimming. Swimmers would be in danger of contracting some infectious disease. Consequently, the beach, which is bathed by this infected water, is closed and swimming from the beach is prohibited.

Every living organism and every society generate excretory products that need to be eliminated. Sewers are the channels of elimination for the excreta of the cities, and the rectum and anus are the channels of elimination for the excrement of the body. The anus and rectum are sewers.

Human excreta contain infectious agents which can cause serious diseases. Consequently, swimming in sewers is dangerous to human health, and it is prohibited in many societies. There is no basic right to swim in a sewer.

Anal intercourse or sodomy is a means of seeking pleasure in the human sewer. It provides a most efficient mechanism for the acquisition and spread of numerous diseases. The basic "gay right" is the right to indulge in sodomy. The evidence is overwhelming that the exercise of this "gay right" has caused, and is causing, an epidemic of diseases. As one of the world's leading authorities on AIDS, Mirko D. Grmek, writes in his book, "History of AIDS":

"Studies showed that most American homosexuals living in large cities had several sex partners each year; mean figures of eighty to one hundred partners were not rare and some mounted into the hundreds. About 10 percent of homosexuals interviewed had had sexual contact with over five hundred persons during their lives. In medical terms the almost immediate result was an increase in the 'classic' sexually transmitted diseases, notably syphilis and gonorrhea: of certain viral diseases, such as hepatitis, herpes, and cytomegalovirus: and intestinal parasites such as amebiasis. Skin disorders of an otherwise relatively rare nature, and chronic diarrhea, become the daily lot of homosexuals. The rise in these disorders preceded the AIDS outbreak, and already indicated the point at which the epidemiologic situation was ready to explode. In San Francisco's Castro district and New York's Greenwich Village, the spread of AIDS in 1981-1982 was catastrophic, faster than anywhere else in the Western world. It was thus in the crowded ranks of the American homosexual community that the AIDS virus finally passed the point of no return in its epidemic spread." (Page 169)

Mirko D. Grmek, M.D., Ph.D., is currently Director of Studies at the Ecole Practique des Hautes Etudes, at the great French University, the Sorbonne. His book

is translated from the French by Russell C. Maulitz, M.D., Ph.D., a physician at Presbyterian Medical Center in Philadelphia, and Jacalyn Duffin Hannah, Professor of History of Medicine at Queen's University in Kingston, Ontario. It is published by Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey.

The responsibility of an arsonist is not limited to the fire which he ignites in a chosen building. He also has responsibility for the fires which are caused by the fire he started and for any casualties that result.

The great majority of deaths from AIDS in the United States are directly caused by the practice of "gay rights". The LOS ANGELES TIMES reports in its August 10 edition that 79% of those afflicted with AIDS in Los Angeles County have contracted the disease directly from the indulgence in the "gay right" to commit sodomy. The majority of the remaining victims, especially those who contracted the disease from blood transfusiors, are the indirect victims of the practice of this "gay right".

The right to commit sodomy is not a basic human right. The right to contract and spread disease is not protected by the U.S. Constitution.

HOMOSEXUAL VICTORY

There has been an orgy of weeping, wailing, and gnashing of teeth among the arrogant elite of the News Media, the "democratic" advisers of the Republican Party, and certain genuine, but misguided, Republicans. They are bewailing the alleged conquest of the Republican Party by the Christian Right.

The real reason for national concern is the power of the homosexual lobby in the Democratic Party. This lobby is so powerful that it successfully extorted a promise from the presidential candidate, William Clinton, to abolish the ban against homosexuals in the military as soon as he had the power to do so. He was rewarded by receiving the wholehearted financial and electoral support of the homosexuals and their allies.

The real cost of this unnatural alliance will be paid by U.S. servicemen in the form of an increased danger of disease and death. This danger will not come from the official enemy, but from the many diseases, including AIDS, which are contracted and spread by homosexual conduct.

Are we threatened by both external and internal enemies? Are such enemies helped by moral breakdown? Learn the truth about Communism and AIDS. For newsletters, books, tapes and videos, write to the CHRISTIAN ANTI-COMMUNISM CRUSADE, P.O. BOX 890, LONG BEACH, CALIFORNIA 90801.

Editor: Fred Schwarz, M.D.

Mr. B. A. Santamaria is a well-known Australian Television Commentator who is unashamed of his Christian perspective. His report is published in the December 5 edition of the Australian magazine, NEWS WEEKLY:

'Family values': practical remedies, not empty waffle

One familiar aspect of the political game, normally trotted out on the eve of elections, is the appeal to "family values". The US Republican platform was originally built around it. The Wednesday night of the Republican Convention was called "Family Night".

One of the brighter US correspondents, Gary Wills, reported that it: "[W]as, in some ways, the real climax of the Convention. The whole program had been organized around 'family values' and more talk was devoted to this theme than to the economy. Even national security, long a Republican speciality, and this President's chosen field of endeavour, came late on the platform, beginning on page 100 of the 123-page document. The economy was not taken up until page 40".

The "Family Values" campaign obviously did not hit the deck. For this there were apparently two reasons:

(i) The 1992 Presidential election was the first in which the "baby boomers" (alternatively the "Vietnam generation") made up a significant, if not decisive, part of the electorate. This led to what the editorialist in the London Spectator called "the bizarre upset of 1992":

"It is hard to avoid the conclusion that a large part of the American people have turned their backs on that old-fashioned quality: Virtue - private and public Virtue. At home, they want the freedom to live as they wish, without any constraint beyond the proddings of their own libertarian consciences. They want to be able to abort unwanted progeny, to enjoy any drug or stimulant that takes their fancy, to make their own moral judgements without reference to an established code of behaviour, or set of conventions, or religious creed. Abroad, they want to disengage. They no longer see the point of Pax Americana. It is a waste of money. It is inconvenient.".

(ii) The second factor was the Republicans' loss of the "Reagan Democrats" — that section of the blue-collar, often Catholic, voters who had rebelled against the "liberalism" of the Democrats of the post-Kennedy era for their

"softness" not merely on the Soviet Union but on a whole mass of moral issues, including homosexuality and abortion.

Macomb County, north of Detroit, has a large proportion of this type of voter. It had been brilliantly captured by Reagan in his two campaigns - 1980 and 1984 — an achievement repeated by Bush in 1988. This time it changed. In 1960 Kennedy won 63% of Macomb votes for the Democrats. By 1984 Reagan had succeeded in capturing 67% for the Republicans. In 1988, Bush retained 63%. By 1992, even though he still polled better than Clinton, Bush's percentage had fallen to 43%. He had lost roughly 20%. Thousands of highly-paid blue-collar workers in the north-eastern states have lost their jobs, while the gap both in income and assets between the top and bottom fifths of the population has become a chasm.

A large proportion of the "Reagan Democrats" apparently came to the conclusion that to destroy the economic life of the family breadwinner is as good a way of destroying family values as condoning homosexuality or pornography. So, presumably holding their noses, they voted for Clinton.

The "family values" campaign did enable Bush to win substantial majorities in several States belonging to the old Confederacy — where the evangelical and fundamentalist versions of Christianity are strong. Nevertheless, it did not have a like effect among the Reagan Democrats of the industrialized northeast.

In the United States, approximately 50% of the population (i.e. 130 million) are reputed to be regular churchgoers. They would find a "family values" campaign more attractive than the far more secularized Australian community. Nevertheless there is still a strong pro-family constituency in Australia. The 1986 Census disclosed that Australia had 4.2 million families, of whom 80% still centred around legally-wedded parents.

In Australia, the "family values" thing will only receive serious consideration by the electorate if either or both parties spell out their respective attitudes — in practical terms — on the three basic issues.

1. The Family Law Act: This has given us most of the 500,000 single parent children in our society; at least half of the hundreds of thousands of divorces granted since 1975; and the annual \$3 billion drain on a Federal Budget which now has a deficit of \$13 billion.

It has occasioned thousands of human tragedies, in the course of which one or other parent has been deprived of his/her children, and half of his/her assets. Is either party prepared seriously to tackle these abuses, and especially the legal principle on which they are founded — that one year's separation provides an automatic entitlement to divorce even though the other party has done nothing whatsoever to deserve it?

2. The absorption of more than 50% of married women in the workforce.

Let it be admitted that every woman should be free to take or not to take outside employment. Equality in freedom does not exist, however, so long as the 50% of married women who stay at home are discriminated against in terms of family taxation, and so long as the Federal Government spends \$370 million on child-care while offering no compensating benefit for mothers who provide the same child-care — but at home.

3. The modification of the various Sexual Discrimination Acts which have 'packed' a number of public service departments — federal and State — with women employees, regardless of their qualifications or lack of them, leading to a position of positive discrimination against male breadwinners.

These are the practical issues. I am prepared to accept that in the present state of general social disintegration, political parties may fear the loss of votes by addressing them. In that case, better not to speak of "family values" at all. It won't be "fair dinkum". Nobody will believe it.